

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	•
10/618,970 07/14/2003		07/14/2003	Eko N. Onggosanusi	TI-34889	TI-34889 5929	
23494	7590	09/19/2006	EXAMINER			
	-	ENTS INCORPO	EJAZ, NAHEED			
P O BOX 65	5474, M/S	3999				
DALLAS, T	X 75265	i	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2611		

DATE MAILED: 09/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	1
-	$^{\prime}$
V	,
- 1	,
•	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	10/618,970	ONGGOSANUSI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Naheed Ejaz	2611					
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply		·					
<ul> <li>A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14.	luly 2003.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
<ul> <li>9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate					

Application/Control Number: 10/618,970 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Abstract

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. Abstract is objected to because it is less than 50 words. Correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 4. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility.
- 5. As per claim 1 (taken as a whole), it recites algorithm or calculations but there is not any practical application recited for the claimed limitations.
- 6. Claims 2-12 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being dependent on a rejected claim, claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,970

Art Unit: 2611

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Page 3

- 8. Claim 1 also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.
- 9. Claims 2-12 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph as being dependent on a rejected claim, claim 1.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 10. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 11. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 12. As per claim 1, it recites, 'after step (b)' (page # 21, line 6) while there is no step mentioned in the claim. Replace 'comprising' (page # 21, line 1) by ---comprising the steps of---.
- 13. Claims 2-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as being dependent on a rejected claim, claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,970 Page 4

Art Unit: 2611

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

14. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 15. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beery et al. (5,805,613) (hereinafter, Beery).
- 16. Refer to claim 1, Beery discloses a method for decoding that includes dividing the received symbols into a number of blocks per codeword and decoding them separately and simultaneously (see Abstract, figures 1 & 3, col.6, lines 24-61) which reads on claim limitations of having 'A method of detection, comprising: (a) receiving a signal representing a set of P symbols where P is a positive integer greater than 2 (figure 1, elements X<sub>1</sub>-X<sub>6</sub>) (b) jointly estimating a subset of P<sub>1</sub> symbols of said set of P symbols where P<sub>1</sub> is a positive integer (figure 1, elements X<sub>1</sub>-X<sub>3</sub>) (c) after step (b), jointly estimating a subset of P<sub>2</sub> symbols of said set of P symbols where P<sub>2</sub> is a positive integer (figure 1, elements X<sub>4</sub>-X<sub>6</sub>) and wherein said subset of P<sub>1</sub> symbols and said subset of P<sub>1</sub> symbols are members of a partition of said set of P symbols and P<sub>1</sub>+P<sub>2</sub> is greater than 2' (col.4, lines 38-63) (it is noted in the mentioned column and lines that Beery is teaching hexacode decoders which always include more than 2 symbols therefore reads on claim limitations of having 'set of P symbols and P<sub>1</sub>+P<sub>2</sub> is greater than 2').

Application/Control Number: 10/618,970

Art Unit: 2611

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 5

17. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- et al. (6,912,684) (hereinafter, Miyata).
- 19. Refer to claim 1, Miyata teaches, 'receiving a signal representing a set of P symbols where P is a positive integer greater than 2' (figure 9, element 'Reception sequence', col.19, lines 11-14), 'jointly estimating a subset of  $P_1$  symbols of said set of P symbols where  $P_1$  is a positive integer' (figure 9, elements 171 & 177, col.19, lines 17-19 & 30-37), 'jointly estimating a subset of  $P_2$  symbols of said set of P symbols where  $P_2$  is a positive integer' (figure 9, elements 172 & 178, col.19, lines 17-22 & 38-44), 'subset of  $P_1$  symbols and said subset of  $P_2$  symbols are members of a partition of said set of P symbols and  $P_1+P_2$  is greater than 2' (figure 9, elements X,  $Y_a-Z'_{b,p}$ , col.20, lines 5-13).
- 20. Regarding claim 11, Miyata discloses a technique of a calculation means of the soft-input and soft output decoders (col.2, lines 19-27) which reads on claim limitations of having estimation steps that include soft decision.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,970 Page 6

Art Unit: 2611

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

21. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 22. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beery et al. (5,805,613), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Berrou (5,446,747).
- 23. Regarding claim 10, although Beery teaches maximum likelihood decoding (col.2, lines 4-7) for Hexacode block codes but he does not explicitly disclose maximum likelihood decoding.

In the same field of endeavor, Berrou uses maximum likelihood algorithms for decoding which reads on claim limitations of having estimation steps that include maximum likelihood decision.

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to implement the teachings of Berrou into Beery in order to take account of a large number of received symbols hence increase the reliability of the decision as taught by Berrou (col.2, lines 10-14).

Art Unit: 2611

#### Conclusion

- 24. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - Lim (5,654,986) teaches method and apparatus for decoding trellis coded
     QAM signals.
  - Penther (2002/0167998) discloses channel delay spread adaptive equalization and decoding.
  - Hulyalkar et al. (6,850,563) teach data slicer for combined trellis decoding and equalization.

## **Contact Information**

25. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Naheed Ejaz whose telephone number is 571-272-5947. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,970

Art Unit: 2611

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Naheed Ejaz Examiner Art Unit 2611

9/13/2006

PANKAJ KUMAR PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER